	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/790,571	CORBIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dang D. Le	2834	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *Dang D. Le.*   (3)*Mr. Corbin.*

(2) *Mr. Miller.*   (4)_____.

Date of Interview: *29 November 2005*.

Type: a)☐ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
       c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☒ applicant   2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☒ Yes   e)☐ No.
    If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: *1 and 16*.

Identification of prior art discussed: *Lehde*.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☒ was reached.   g)☐ was not reached.   h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *The examiner suggests the applicant to include in the body of claim 1 to exclude the use of permanent magnet in the secondary rotary member. Such amendment will ovecome Lehde.* .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

DANG LE·
PRIMARY EXAMINER

11/29/05

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required